

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

IN RE: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

) Case No.: CV 11-2509 LHK

) **ORDER DENYING MOTION TO**  
) **SHORTEN TIME**

) **(Re: Docket No. 280)**

Plaintiffs seek to shorten time on consideration and hearing of a motion to compel privileged documents involving various deponents. Although several of the depositions are scheduled within a short time, fact discovery for this case does not close until March 29, 2013.<sup>1</sup> In light of the thousands of documents listed in the privilege log that the court must consider for the underlying motion,<sup>2</sup> shortening time on the hearing is impractical. To the extent that they seek to have a hearing on this motion before the February 26, 2013 date currently set, Plaintiffs' motion is DENIED.

The court has learned that Plaintiffs and Defendants reached a stipulation regarding briefing of this motion during their hearing before Judge Koh on January 17, 2013.<sup>3</sup> From the court's

<sup>1</sup> See Docket No. 282.

<sup>2</sup> See Docket No. 278.

<sup>3</sup> See Docket No. 282.

ORDER

1 understanding, Defendants agreed to Plaintiffs' proposed briefing schedule and limits on the extent  
2 of briefing.<sup>4</sup> The court adopts that schedule and those limits here. Defendants shall submit an  
3 opposition that is no longer than eight pages no later than January 25, 2013. Plaintiffs shall not  
4 submit a reply.

5 The parties have requested from the court review of myriad documents and for this court to  
6 conduct a meaningful hearing, it requires sufficient time to understand the parties' respective  
7 positions and consider the numerous designations and challenges made by each side. And so at the  
8 risk of sounding repetitive but with the point of ensuring clarity, the court reiterates that the hearing  
9 date remains February 26, 2013, in spite of the court's adoption of the briefing limits to which the  
10 parties have agreed.

11 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

12 Dated: January 23, 2013

13  
14  
15   
16 PAUL S. GREWAL  
17 United States Magistrate Judge  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

---

<sup>4</sup> See *id.*